



# Ethical dilemmas in international medical health tourism: A critical commentary

Adanze Nge Cynthia<sup>a,b,1</sup> 

<sup>a</sup> Dokuz Eylul University, School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Public Health, Balçova, İzmir 35340, Türkiye

<sup>b</sup> Integrated Health Organization, Cameroon

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Medical tourism  
Ethics  
Patient  
Tourists  
Health policy

## ABSTRACT

International medical tourism represents a rapidly expanding healthcare sector that raises complex ethical challenges requiring urgent attention from policymakers and healthcare professionals. This commentary critically examines four primary ethical domains in medical tourism: informed consent and patient vulnerability, legal accountability across jurisdictions, healthcare resource allocation inequities, and post-treatment continuity of care gaps. Drawing on recent literature and policy developments, we synthesize current understanding of these challenges and their implications for patient safety and global health equity. The analysis reveals significant regulatory gaps that compromise patient protection while potentially exacerbating healthcare disparities in destination countries. This commentary aims to inform policy discussions and highlight priorities for future research in medical tourism ethics. To address these challenges, we recommend strengthening international regulations, enhancing legal protections, and improving post-operative care coordination between home and destination countries.

## Introduction

Medical tourism, defined as the cross-border movement of patients seeking healthcare services, has become a significant component of global healthcare (Crist et al., 2023). This industry has expanded due to lower costs, reduced wait times, and access to high-quality medical care in countries such as Thailand, India, Turkey, Malaysia, Costa Rica, Singapore, and Mexico (Market.U.S., 2023). While some patients seek elective procedures (e.g., cosmetic surgery), others pursue life-saving treatments, fertility services, and organ transplants.

The global medical tourism market was valued at approximately \$65.3 billion in 2021 and is projected to reach \$179.6 billion by 2026, reflecting its substantial economic and healthcare significance (Reportlinker, 2019).

Despite its economic benefits, medical tourism presents ethical and regulatory challenges. These include gaps in patient protection, limited legal accountability, and disparities in healthcare access. Furthermore, the lack of standardized post-operative care protocols places returning patients at risk, as home-country healthcare providers may be hesitant to treat complications from procedures performed abroad (Wahed, 2015).

The ethical landscape of medical tourism presents unique challenges that extend beyond traditional healthcare ethics. Recent scholarly attention to these issues, including specialty-specific analyses such as dermatologic medical tourism ethics, has highlighted the complexity of cross-border healthcare relationships and the vulnerability of medical tourists to exploitation and harm. The power dynamics between healthcare providers, patients, and intermediary medical tourism agencies can compromise informed decision-making and patient advocacy (Labonté et al., 2018).

This commentary examines the principal ethical dilemmas in international medical tourism, focusing on informed consent challenges, jurisdictional legal complexities, resource allocation concerns, and care continuity gaps. By synthesizing current knowledge and identifying critical gaps in patient protection, we aim to inform policy discussions and highlight priorities for strengthening ethical frameworks in this rapidly evolving sector.

## Approach and scope

This commentary draws on a narrative synthesis of recent literature, policy documents, and case studies to examine ethical challenges in

E-mail address: [ngecynthia22@gmail.com](mailto:ngecynthia22@gmail.com).

<sup>1</sup> 0000-0003-3802-9653.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmhs.2025.100111>

Received 26 March 2025; Received in revised form 13 June 2025; Accepted 18 July 2025

Available online 18 July 2025

2949-8562/© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

medical tourism. Rather than attempting a comprehensive systematic review, we focus on highlighting key ethical themes and their practical implications. Our analysis incorporates peer-reviewed literature from medical ethics, health policy, and global health journals, supplemented by relevant policy reports from organizations such as the World Health Organization and professional medical societies.

We acknowledge the limitations inherent in this approach, including potential selection bias in source identification and the variable quality of available evidence. The medical tourism ethics literature remains relatively nascent, with much of the available evidence consisting of case studies, expert opinions, and policy analyses rather than large-scale empirical research. Additionally, we recognize that some industry reports and policy documents may reflect institutional biases, and we interpret such sources with appropriate caution.

This commentary builds upon recent work examining medical tourism ethics across various specialties, including recent analyses of dermatologic medical tourism and broader examinations of healthcare equity in cross-border care. Our contribution lies in synthesizing these diverse perspectives to identify common ethical challenges and propose integrated policy responses.

## Critical ethical domains in medical tourism

### 1. Informed Consent and Patient Vulnerability

Informed consent represents a fundamental challenge in medical tourism, complicated by linguistic, cultural, and regulatory differences between patients' home countries and treatment destinations (Global Healthcare Accreditation, 2018). Recent research has documented how language barriers can significantly compromise patients' understanding of procedures, risks, and post-operative requirements, even when translation services are available (Şahin and Cezlan, 2022; Sahin et al., 2024).

The informed consent process in medical tourism is further complicated by aggressive marketing practices employed by medical tourism brokers and facilities. These intermediaries often present overly optimistic outcomes while minimizing risks to attract international patients. Such practices can create unrealistic expectations and compromise patients' ability to make truly informed decisions about their care (Zhou et al., 2024).

Cultural differences in medical ethics and practice standards between home and destination countries add another layer of complexity. Treatments that may be considered experimental or ethically questionable in patients' home countries might be routinely offered in destination countries, creating ethical dilemmas about appropriate care standards and patient protection (de Castro et al., 2016).

The vulnerability of medical tourists is heightened by their unfamiliarity with local healthcare systems, legal frameworks, and cultural norms. This vulnerability is particularly pronounced for patients seeking sensitive treatments such as fertility services, gender-affirming care, or organ transplants, where ethical standards may vary significantly between jurisdictions.

### 2. Legal Accountability and Jurisdictional Complexities

Medical tourists often find themselves in a legal limbo when complications arise, lacking the malpractice protections and legal recourse available in their home countries. The jurisdictional complexities of international healthcare create significant barriers to accountability when medical errors, misdiagnoses, or inadequate care occur (American Society of Plastic Surgeons, 2018).

Healthcare laws and regulatory standards vary substantially between countries, creating challenges for establishing uniform quality standards and patient protection mechanisms. This regulatory fragmentation means that treatments considered substandard in one country may be legally acceptable in another, potentially exposing patients to unnecessary risks (Kruk et al., 2018).

Insurance coverage for medical tourism complications presents

another significant challenge. Many patients discover that their home country insurance plans do not cover treatment of complications arising from procedures performed abroad, creating substantial financial burdens and potentially delaying necessary care. The absence of standardized international arbitration mechanisms for medical malpractice cases leaves patients with limited options for seeking redress when problems occur. Unlike domestic healthcare systems with established complaint procedures and compensation mechanisms, medical tourism operates in a regulatory gap that often favors providers over patients (Gilardi et al., 2023).

### 3. Healthcare Resource Allocation and Equity Concerns

Medical tourism's financial incentives often result in resource diversion, prioritizing high-quality care for international patients at the expense of local populations. In some destination countries, the focus on high-paying medical tourists has led to the development of two-tiered healthcare systems where international patients receive premium services while local patients face longer wait times and reduced access to specialized care (Chang et al., 2024).

This resource diversion raises fundamental questions about healthcare equity and social justice. When healthcare facilities prioritize international patients, local populations may experience diminished access to their own healthcare infrastructure, particularly for specialized services that generate the highest profits from medical tourism.

The brain drain effect represents another equity concern, as healthcare professionals may be drawn away from public hospitals serving local populations to private facilities catering to medical tourists. This migration of skilled healthcare workers can weaken public health systems and exacerbate healthcare disparities within destination countries (Cakir and Demirag, 2025).

The sustainability of healthcare systems becomes questionable when they become heavily dependent on medical tourism revenue while neglecting the healthcare needs of their own populations. This dependency can create perverse incentives that prioritize profit over public health objectives.

### 4. Post-Treatment Care Coordination and Continuity Challenges

The lack of standardized post-operative care protocols represents a critical gap in medical tourism that can compromise patient safety and outcomes. Many patients return home to find that their local healthcare providers are reluctant to assume responsibility for managing complications from procedures performed abroad (Vequist, 2021).

Medical record transfer and communication between international providers and home country healthcare systems remain poorly coordinated. This lack of communication can result in delayed recognition of complications, inappropriate treatment decisions, and compromised patient safety.

The absence of clear protocols for managing medical tourism complications creates additional burdens on home country healthcare systems, which may not have anticipated or budgeted for these cases. This situation can strain relationships between patients and their local healthcare providers and potentially compromise the quality of follow-up care.

International variations in medical practice standards and documentation requirements further complicate care transitions. Procedures that are standard in one country may be unfamiliar to providers in another, creating challenges for appropriate follow-up care and complication management.

## Policy implications and recommendations

Addressing the ethical challenges in medical tourism requires coordinated action across multiple levels, from international policy frameworks to individual healthcare provider practices. We propose several key areas for policy development and implementation.

### *International regulatory coordination*

The development of international standards for medical tourism requires cooperation between home and destination countries to establish minimum safety and ethical requirements. These standards should address informed consent procedures, quality assurance mechanisms, and patient protection protocols that apply across jurisdictions. Bilateral and multilateral agreements between countries could establish frameworks for legal accountability, insurance coverage, and dispute resolution in medical tourism cases. Such agreements should specify the rights and responsibilities of patients, providers, and intermediary organizations.

### *Enhanced patient protection mechanisms*

Standardized informed consent procedures for medical tourism should be developed that account for cultural and linguistic differences while ensuring that patients properly understand the risks and limitations of cross-border care. These procedures should include mandatory cooling-off periods and independent patient advocacy services. Accreditation systems for medical tourism facilities should be strengthened and standardized internationally, with regular auditing and public reporting of quality metrics. Such systems should address not only clinical quality but also ethical practices and patient rights protection.

### *Care coordination improvements*

International protocols for medical record sharing and care coordination should be established to facilitate seamless transitions between destination and home country providers. These protocols should leverage digital health technologies while ensuring patient privacy and data security. Training and education programs for healthcare providers should address the unique challenges of managing medical tourism patients, including complication recognition, legal considerations, and cultural competency requirements.

### *Equity and resource allocation safeguards*

Destination countries should implement policies to ensure that medical tourism development does not compromise healthcare access for local populations. These policies might include requirements for maintaining minimum capacity for local patients and investing medical tourism revenues in public health infrastructure. Monitoring and evaluation systems should be established to assess the impact of medical tourism on local healthcare systems and population health outcomes, with regular public reporting of these assessments.

### *Future research priorities*

Several critical areas require additional research to strengthen the evidence base for medical tourism ethics and policy development. Large-scale longitudinal studies are needed to assess patient outcomes, complication rates, and satisfaction levels across different types of medical tourism procedures and destinations. Research on the effectiveness of different patient protection mechanisms and regulatory approaches would inform policy development and help identify best practices for safeguarding medical tourists. Comparative studies of different countries' approaches to medical tourism regulation could provide valuable insights for policy learning and adaptation. Studies examining the long-term sustainability of medical tourism-dependent healthcare systems would inform policy discussions about appropriate levels of reliance on international patients and strategies for balancing medical tourism with domestic healthcare needs.

### **Limitations and considerations**

This commentary is subject to several limitations that should be acknowledged. The medical tourism literature remains fragmented across multiple disciplines and specialties, making comprehensive synthesis challenging. Much of the available evidence consists of case studies and expert opinions rather than large-scale empirical research, limiting the strength of conclusions that can be drawn. The rapid evolution of medical tourism practices and policies means that some observations may become outdated quickly, requiring ongoing monitoring and analysis. Additionally, the diversity of medical tourism markets and regulatory environments limits the generalizability of findings across different contexts.

Furthermore, our analysis may reflect selection bias in source identification, and we acknowledge that some important perspectives or evidence may have been overlooked. The commentary also relies partly on policy documents and industry reports that may reflect institutional biases, though we have attempted to interpret such sources critically.

### **Conclusion**

International medical tourism presents complex ethical challenges that require coordinated responses from policymakers, healthcare providers, and international organizations. The four domains examined in this commentary, informed consent, legal accountability, resource allocation, and care continuity, represent critical areas where current protections are inadequate and where policy intervention is urgently needed. The continued growth of medical tourism without corresponding development of ethical frameworks and patient protection mechanisms poses risks to individual patients and potentially to global health equity. However, with appropriate policy responses and international cooperation, medical tourism can be developed in ways that maximize benefits while minimizing harm to patients and healthcare systems.

The recommendations presented in this commentary provide a starting point for policy discussions, but their implementation will require sustained commitment from multiple stakeholders and ongoing adaptation based on emerging evidence and changing market conditions. Future research should prioritize empirical evaluation of policy interventions and their effectiveness in protecting patients and promoting equitable healthcare access.

By addressing these ethical challenges proactively, the international community can work toward a medical tourism sector that serves patients' interests while contributing to rather than undermining global health equity and healthcare system sustainability.

### **Ethical Approval**

This commentary is based on a narrative synthesis of publicly available literature, policy documents, and previously published case studies. No primary data collection involving human subjects or animals was conducted. Therefore, formal ethical approval from an institutional review board was not required for this study.

### **CRedit authorship contribution statement**

**Adanze Nge Cynthia:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Resources, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

### **Funding Sources**

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## Declaration of Interests

I have nothing to declare.

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References

- American Society of Plastic Surgeons, 2018. Medical Tourism Can Put Patients in Legal Limbo. *Plasticsurgery.org*. (<https://www.plasticsurgery.org/news/press-releases/medical-tourism-can-put-patients-in-legal-limbo>). (Accessed 26 March 2025).
- Cakir, S., Demirag, S., 2025. Brain drain in healthcare: a critical global issue. *Mathe J. Psychiatry Ment. Health* 10 (1), 50. <https://doi.org/10.30654/MJPMH.10050>.
- de Castro, L., Sy, P., Gan, J., 2016. Medical tourism. In: ten Have, H. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Global Bioethics*. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09483-0\\_282](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09483-0_282).
- Chang, Y.C., Norazirah, H., Ayob, Lee, S.K., Wong, P.F., Tan, A.L., Ng, C.H., et al., 2024. Medical tourism travel motivations: the push and pull driving forces. *Int. J. Acad. Res. Bus. Soc. Sci.* 14 (11), 1770–1787. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v14-i11/23404>.
- Crist, M., Appiah, G., Leidel, L., Stoney, R., 2023. Medical Tourism | CDC Yellow Book 2024 *wwwnc.cdc.gov*. (<https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/yellowbook/2024/health-care-abroad/medical-tourism>). (Accessed 26 March 2025).
- Gilardi, R., Galassi, L., Del Bene, M., Firmani, G., Parisi, P., 2023. Infective complications of cosmetic tourism: a systematic literature review. *J. Plast. Reconstr. Aesthet. Surg.* 84, 9–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2023.05.021>.
- Global Healthcare Accreditation, 2018. Facilitating Informed Consent in Medical Travel. (<https://www.globalhealthcareaccreditation.com/news/facilitating-informed-consent-in-medical-travel>) (Accessed 26 March 2025).
- Kruk, M.E., Gage, A.D., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H.H., Roder-DeWan, S., et al., 2018. High-quality health systems in the sustainable development goals era: time for a revolution. *Lancet Glob. Health* 6 (11), e1196–e1252. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30386-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3).
- Labonté, R., Crooks, V.A., Valdés, A.C., Runnels, V., Snyder, J., Rodriguez, P., et al., 2018. Government roles in regulating medical tourism: evidence from Guatemala. *Int. J. Equity Health* 17 (1), 150. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-018-0866-1>.
- MarketUs, 2023. Medical Tourism Statistics: Emerged as a Significant Global Industry Where Individuals Travel Across International Borders to Seek Medical Treatment. *GlobeNewswire News Room*. (<https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2023/09/29/2752064/0/en/Medical-Tourism-Statistics-Emerged-as-A-Significant-Global-Industry-Where-Individuals-Travel-Across-International-Borders-to-Seek-Medical-Treatment.html>). (Accessed 26 March 2025).
- Reportlinker, 2019. The global medical tourism market size is expected to reach USD 179.6 billion by 2026. *Prnewswire.com*. (<https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/the-global-medical-tourism-market-size-is-expected-to-reach-usd-179-6-billion-by-2026-300802617.html>). (Accessed 26 March 2025).
- Sahin, S., Zhou, A.E., Grant-Kels, J.M., 2024. Ethical issues of dermatologic medical tourism. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2024.11.058> (Advance online publication).
- Şahin, K., Cezlan, E.Ç., 2022. Hospital selection of health tourists: a study with Ahp and Topsis Methods. *OPUS-J. Soc. Res.* 19 (46), 327–339.
- Vequist IV, D.G., 2021. The continuum of care in cross-border health travel. *J. Health Manag. Stand.* 1 (1), 1–13.
- Wahed, H., 2015. Ethical and legal issues in medical tourism. *IIUM Law J.* 23 (2), 45–60.
- Zhou, A.E., Jain, N.P., Gronbeck, C., Muzumdar, S., Grant-Kels, J.M., Feng, H., 2024. Ethics of social media consent forms. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 92 (6), 1499–1500.